

INTEGRAL RIEMANN-ROCH FORMULAE FOR CYCLIC SUBGROUPS OF MAPPING CLASS GROUPS

TOSHIYUKI AKITA AND NARIYA KAWAZUMI

ABSTRACT. The first author conjectured certain relations for Morita-Mumford classes and Newton classes in the integral cohomology of mapping class groups (integral Riemann-Roch formulae). In this paper, the conjecture is verified for cyclic subgroups of mapping class groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Σ_g be a closed oriented surface of genus g and $\text{Diff}_+\Sigma_g$ the group of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of Σ_g equipped with the C^∞ -topology. The mapping class group Γ_g is the group of path components of $\text{Diff}_+\Sigma_g$. Throughout this paper we assume $g \geq 2$. There are two important families of integral cohomology classes of Γ_g , Morita-Mumford classes e_k and Chern classes c_k . Newton classes s_k are integral polynomials of c_i 's (see Section 2 for the precise definitions). Over the rationals, these classes are related by the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem (or the Atiyah-Singer index theorem) which implies that

$$\frac{B_{2k}}{2k} e_{2k-1} = s_{2k-1} \in H^{4k-2}(\Gamma_g; \mathbb{Q})$$

for all $k \geq 1$, where B_{2k} is the $2k$ -th Bernoulli number (see [10, 11]). The first author conjectured in [1] that similar relations hold over the *integers*, as stated in the below.

Conjecture (Integral Riemann-Roch formulae for Γ_g). *Let N'_{2k}, D'_{2k} be coprime integers satisfying $B_{2k}/2k = N'_{2k}/D'_{2k}$. Then $N'_{2k}e_{2k-1} = D'_{2k}s_{2k-1} \in H^{4k-2}(\Gamma_g; \mathbb{Z})$ holds for all $g \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$.*

The conjecture is known to be affirmative for $k = 1$ (i.e. $e_1 = 12s_1 \in H^2(\Gamma_g; \mathbb{Z})$ for $g \geq 2$), since $H^2(\Gamma_g; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for $g \geq 3$ as was proved by Harer [6] (see [1] for the case $g = 2$). Moreover, the second author showed that a slightly weaker version of the conjecture holds for hyperelliptic mapping class groups [8]. The purpose of this paper is to verify the conjecture for cyclic subgroups of Γ_g :

Theorem 1. *Let $\langle \gamma \rangle$ be a cyclic subgroup of Γ_g generated by $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$. Then*

$$N'_{2k}e_{2k-1}(\gamma) = D'_{2k}s_{2k-1}(\gamma) \in H^{4k-2}(\langle \gamma \rangle; \mathbb{Z}),$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55R40 ; Secondary 14H37, 57R20, 57M60.

where $e_{2k-1}(\gamma), s_{2k-1}(\gamma)$ are the restriction of e_{2k-1}, s_{2k-1} to $\langle \gamma \rangle$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we will recall the definitions of Morita-Mumford classes e_k and Newton classes s_k of Γ_g . In Section 3 we will introduce the fixed point data of an element $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ of finite order, and express $e_k(\gamma)$ and $s_k(\gamma)$ in terms of the fixed point data of γ . The expression of $e_k(\gamma)$ was obtained in [2, 9]. The proof of Theorem 1 will be given in Section 4. The key ingredient for the proof of Theorem 1 is a certain generalization of Voronoi's congruence on Bernoulli numbers (Theorem 9), which will be proved in Section 5 by using a result of Porubský [13, 14].

Notation. There are some conflicting notations of Bernoulli numbers. We define B_{2k} ($k \geq 1$) by a power series expansion

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} + \frac{z}{2} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} z^{2k}.$$

Thus $B_2 = 1/6, B_4 = -1/30, B_6 = 1/42, B_8 = -1/30, B_{10} = 5/66$, and so on. Our notation is consistent with [7] but differs from [1, 8, 10].

2. DEFINITIONS

In this section, we recall definitions of Morita-Mumford classes and Newton classes of Γ_g . Let $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ be an oriented Σ_g -bundle, $T_{E/B}$ the tangent bundle along the fiber of π , and $e \in H^2(E; \mathbb{Z})$ the Euler class of $T_{E/B}$. Then the k -th Morita-Mumford class $e_k \in H^{2k}(B; \mathbb{Z})$ of π is defined by

$$e_k := \pi_!(e^{k+1})$$

where $\pi_! : H^*(E; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{*-2}(B; \mathbb{Z})$ is the Gysin homomorphism (or the integration along the fiber). The structure group of oriented Σ_g -bundles is $\text{Diff}_+ \Sigma_g$. Hence passing to the universal Σ_g -bundle

$$E\text{Diff}_+ \Sigma_g \times_{\text{Diff}_+ \Sigma_g} \Sigma_g \rightarrow B\text{Diff}_+ \Sigma_g$$

we obtain the cohomology classes $e_k \in H^*(B\text{Diff}_+ \Sigma_g; \mathbb{Z})$. Now a result of Earle and Eells [3] implies that the classifying space $B\text{Diff}_+ \Sigma_g$ is homotopy equivalent to the Eilenberg-MacLane space $B\Gamma_g = K(\Gamma_g, 1)$ so that we obtain the k -th Morita-Mumford class $e_k \in H^{2k}(\Gamma_g; \mathbb{Z})$ of Γ_g .

Let $U(g)$ be the unitary group. The k -th Newton class $s_k \in H^*(BU(g); \mathbb{Z})$ is the characteristic class associated to the formal sum $\sum_i x_i^k$. Note that $s_1 = c_1$ and $s_k = N_k(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k)$ where $c_i \in H^*(BU(g); \mathbb{Z})$ is the i -th Chern class and N_k is the k -th Newton polynomial. The Newton classes are also called the integral Chern character in the literature, for $g + \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k/k! \in H^*(BU(g); \mathbb{Q})$ coincides with the Chern character.

Now the natural action of Γ_g on the first real homology $H_1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{R})$ induces a homomorphism $\Gamma_g \rightarrow Sp(2g, \mathbb{R})$. The homomorphism yields a continuous map

$$\eta : B\Gamma_g \rightarrow BU(g)$$

of classifying spaces, for the maximal compact subgroup of $Sp(2g, \mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to $U(g)$. The k -th Newton class $s_k \in H^{2k}(\Gamma_g; \mathbb{Z})$ of Γ_g is defined to be the

pull-back of $s_k \in H^*(BU(g); \mathbb{Z})$ by η (we use the same symbol). The k -th Chern class $c_k \in H^{2k}(\Gamma_g; \mathbb{Z})$ of Γ_g is defined similarly.

Remark 1. In [1] and [10], Chern classes and Newton classes of Γ_g were defined in terms of the action of Γ_g on the cohomology $H^1(\Sigma_g; \mathbb{R})$ rather than the homology. Hence the k -th Newton class s_k considered in those papers is $(-1)^k$ times of ours.

Remark 2. Any oriented Σ_g -bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ can be regarded as a continuous family of compact Riemann surface of genus g . Let E_b be the fiber of $b \in B$ and $H^0(E_b, \Omega_{E_b}^1)$ the space of holomorphic 1-forms on E_b . We obtain a g -dimensional complex vector bundle

$$\xi : \bigcup_{b \in B} H^0(E_b, \Omega_{E_b}^1) \rightarrow B$$

over B , which is called the Hodge bundle associated to π . The Chern classes $c_k(\xi)$ and Newton classes $s_k(\xi)$ coincide with the pull-back of Chern classes and Newton classes of Γ_g by the classifying map $B \rightarrow B\Gamma_g$ of π . Indeed, the classifying map $B \rightarrow BU(g)$ of ξ can be identified with the composition of $B \rightarrow B\Gamma_g$ and $\eta : B\Gamma_g \rightarrow BU(g)$. See [8, p. 366] and [10, p. 553] for precise.

For later use, we introduce characteristic classes of unitary representations of finite groups. See [16] for precise. Let G be a finite group and $\rho : G \rightarrow U(n)$ be a unitary representation. The k -th Newton class $s_k(\rho) \in H^{2k}(G; \mathbb{Z})$ of ρ is the pull-back of $s_k \in H^{2k}(BU(n); \mathbb{Z})$ by the continuous map $B\rho : BG \rightarrow BU(n)$ induced by ρ . The k -th Chern class $c_k(\rho)$ of ρ is defined similarly. Newton classes of unitary representations satisfy the following properties:

- (a) If ρ_1 and ρ_2 are unitary representations of G , then, for each $k \geq 1$,

$$s_k(\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2) = s_k(\rho_1) + s_k(\rho_2).$$

- (b) If $\rho : G \rightarrow U(1)$ is a linear character, then, for each $k \geq 1$ and $l \geq 0$,

$$s_k(\rho) = s_1(\rho)^k = c_1(\rho)^k, \quad s_1(\rho^{\otimes l}) = l s_1(\rho).$$

3. FIXED POINT DATA

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ be an element of order n and $\langle \gamma \rangle$ the subgroup generated by γ . By a classical result of Nielsen, $\langle \gamma \rangle$ is realized as a group of automorphisms of a suitable compact Riemann surface M of genus g . Let $h(\gamma)$ be the genus of the quotient surface $M/\langle \gamma \rangle$, and $\langle \gamma \rangle_x$ be the isotropy subgroup at $x \in M$. Set $\mathcal{S} = \{x \in M \mid \langle \gamma \rangle_x \neq 1\}$ and choose a set $\{x_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq q} \subset \mathcal{S}$ of representatives of $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits of elements in $\mathcal{S}$. Let α_i be the order of the isotropy subgroup at x_i and β_i an integer such that $\gamma^{\beta_i n / \alpha_i}$ acts on $T_{x_i}M$ by $z \mapsto \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/\alpha_i)z$ with respect to a suitable local coordinate z at x_i . The number β_i is well-defined modulo α_i and is prime to α_i . The *fixed point data* of γ is the collection

$$\langle g, n \mid \beta_1/\alpha_1, \dots, \beta_q/\alpha_q \rangle.$$

The rational numbers $\beta_1/\alpha_1, \dots, \beta_q/\alpha_q$, consider as elements in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, are unique up to order. According to Nielsen [12] (see also [15]), the fixed point data is independent of various choices made, and hence is well defined for $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$. The following proposition will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 2. *The fixed point data $\langle g, n \mid \beta_1/\alpha_1, \dots, \beta_q/\alpha_q \rangle$ of $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (1)$$

and the Riemann-Hurwitz equation

$$2g - 2 = n(2 \cdot h(\gamma) - 2) + n \sum_{i=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_i}\right).$$

Proof. See [5]. □

As defined in the introduction, let $e_k(\gamma), s_k(\gamma) \in H^{2k}(\langle \gamma \rangle; \mathbb{Z})$ be the restriction of $e_k, s_k \in H^{2k}(\Gamma_g; \mathbb{Z})$ to $\langle \gamma \rangle$. We wish to express $e_k(\gamma), s_k(\gamma)$ in terms of the fixed point data of γ . Let $\rho_\gamma : \langle \gamma \rangle \rightarrow U(1)$ be the linear character defined by $\gamma \mapsto \exp(2\pi i/n)$ and $u_\gamma := c_1(\rho_\gamma) \in H^2(\langle \gamma \rangle; \mathbb{Z})$ the first Chern class of ρ_γ . As is known, we have

$$H^*(\langle \gamma \rangle, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[u_\gamma]/(nu_\gamma).$$

Then $e_k(\gamma)$ is expressed in terms of the fixed point data of γ , as stated below in Proposition 3.

Proposition 3. *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ be an element of order n having the fixed point data $\langle g, n \mid \beta_1/\alpha_1, \dots, \beta_q/\alpha_q \rangle$. Then*

$$e_k(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{n}{\alpha_i} (\beta_i^*)^k u_\gamma^k \in H^{2k}(\langle \gamma \rangle; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where β_i^ is an integer satisfying $\beta_i^* \beta_i \equiv 1 \pmod{\alpha_i}$.*

Proof. See [2, 9]. □

Let $H^0(M, \Omega_M^1)$ be the space of holomorphic 1-forms of M . The natural action of $\langle \gamma \rangle$ on $H^0(M, \Omega_M^1)$ yields a linear representation $\omega : \langle \gamma \rangle \rightarrow U(g)$. and the induced map $B\omega : B\langle \gamma \rangle \rightarrow BU(g)$ of classifying spaces can be identified with the composition $B\langle \gamma \rangle \rightarrow B\Gamma_g \rightarrow BU(g)$, where the former is the map induced by the inclusion $\langle \gamma \rangle \hookrightarrow \Gamma_g$ and the latter is the map η mentioned in Section 2 (see Remark 2 in Section 2). Hence $s_k(\gamma) \in H^{2k}(\langle \gamma \rangle; \mathbb{Z})$ coincides with the k -th Newton class $s_k(\omega)$ of the representation ω . Since $\langle \gamma \rangle$ is cyclic, the representation ω decomposes into the sum of linear characters as $\omega = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} n_j \rho_\gamma^j$. The multiplicity n_j can be expressed in terms of the fixed point data, as in the proposition below. For each rational number $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, define $\delta(r) \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\delta(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & r \notin \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4. *Let $\langle g, n \mid \beta_1/\alpha_1, \dots, \beta_q/\alpha_q \rangle$ be the fixed point data of $\gamma^{-1} \in \Gamma_g$. Then $n_0 = h(\gamma)$ and*

$$n_j = h(\gamma) - 1 + q - \sum_{i=1}^q \left\{ \frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i} - \left\lfloor \frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \right\rfloor + \delta\left(\frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i}\right) \right\} \quad (2)$$

for $1 \leq j < n$.

To prove the proposition, we follow the argument of [4] first. Let $\pi : M \rightarrow M/\langle \gamma \rangle$ be the natural projection. For each $1 \leq l < n$ with $l|n$, we set

$$X_l := \{P \in M \mid \gamma^l P = P, \gamma^k P \neq P \ (0 < k \leq l-1)\}.$$

For each non-empty X_l , we set

$$\pi(X_l) = \{Q_{ml} \in M/\langle \gamma \rangle \mid 1 \leq m \leq y_l\},$$

where y_l is the cardinality of X_l ($y_l = 0$ when $X_l = \emptyset$). Choose a local coordinate z for each point in $\pi^{-1}(Q_{ml})$ such that γ^{-1} is given by $\gamma^{-1} : z \mapsto \eta_{ml} z$, where η_{ml} is a primitive n/l -root of unity. For each j and $Q_{ml} \in \pi(X_l)$, choose the unique natural number λ_{mlj} such that

$$1 \leq \lambda_{mlj} \leq n/l, \ \eta_{ml}^{\lambda_{mlj}} = \varepsilon^{jl}. \quad (3)$$

Then (2.5.4) of [4, Chapter V] asserts that $n_0 = h(\gamma)$ and

$$n_j = h(\gamma) - 1 + \sum_{l|n} y_l - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l|n} \sum_{m=1}^{y_l} l \lambda_{mlj} \quad (4)$$

for $1 \leq j < n$.

Proof of Proposition 4. Let $x_i \in M$ be the point corresponding to β_i/α_i ($1 \leq i \leq q$) and suppose that $x_i \in \pi^{-1}(Q_{ml})$. Then $l = n/\alpha_i$, and the condition (3) is equivalent to the condition

$$1 \leq \lambda_{mlj} \leq \alpha_i, \ \lambda_{mlj} \equiv j\beta_i \pmod{\alpha_i},$$

which leads to

$$\lambda_{mlj} = j\beta_i - \alpha_i \left\lfloor \frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \right\rfloor + \alpha_i \cdot \delta \left(\frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \right). \quad (5)$$

Substitute (5) to (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_j &= h(\gamma) - 1 + q - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l|n} \sum_{m=1}^{y_l} l \lambda_{mlj} \\ &= h(\gamma) - 1 + q - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l|n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq q \\ \alpha_i = n/l}} \frac{n}{\alpha_i} \left\{ j\beta_i - \alpha_i \left\lfloor \frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \right\rfloor + \alpha_i \cdot \delta \left(\frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \right) \right\} \\ &= h(\gamma) - 1 + q - \sum_{i=1}^q \left\{ \frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i} - \left\lfloor \frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \right\rfloor + \delta \left(\frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. $\square$

Now we can express $s_k(\gamma)$ in terms of the fixed point data of γ , as stated below in Proposition 5.

Proposition 5. *Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ be an element of order n having the fixed point data $\langle g, n \mid \beta_1/\alpha_1, \dots, \beta_q/\alpha_q \rangle$. For each j ($1 \leq j < n$), set*

$$n_j = h(\gamma) - 1 + q - \sum_{i=1}^q \left\{ \frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i} - \left\lfloor \frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \right\rfloor + \delta \left(\frac{j\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \right) \right\}$$

as in (2). Then

$$s_k(\gamma) = (-1)^k \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} n_j j^k u_\gamma^k \in H^{2n}(\langle \gamma \rangle; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. By Proposition 4, the representation $\omega : \langle \gamma \rangle \rightarrow U(g)$ decomposes into the sum of linear characters as $\omega = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} n_j \rho_{\gamma^{-1}}^j$ with $n_0 = h(\gamma)$. In view of Section 2 (a) and (b), we see that

$$s_1(\rho_{\gamma^{-1}}^j) = js_1(\rho_{\gamma^{-1}}) = -js_1(\rho_\gamma) = -ju_\gamma$$

and

$$s_k(\gamma) = s_k(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} n_j s_k(\rho_{\gamma^{-1}}^j) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} n_j (-ju_\gamma)^k = (-1)^k \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} n_j j^k u_\gamma^k$$

as desired. $\square$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on a number of arithmetic properties of Bernoulli numbers. We recall those properties first. Define integers $N_{2k}, D_{2k}, N'_{2k}, D'_{2k}$ by

$$B_{2k} = \frac{N_{2k}}{D_{2k}}, \quad \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} = \frac{N'_{2k}}{D'_{2k}}, \quad (N_{2k}, D_{2k}) = (N'_{2k}, D'_{2k}) = 1, \quad D_{2k}, D'_{2k} > 0$$

(N'_{2k}, D'_{2k} are already introduced in the introduction). Note that D_{2k} divides D'_{2k} .

Lemma 6. *Let p be a prime number. Then*

- (1) p divides D_{2k} if and only if $p-1 \mid 2k$,
- (2) p^2 does not divide D_{2k} for all $k \geq 1$.
- (3) 6 divides D_{2k} and 4 divides D'_{2k} for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. Statements (1) and (2) are direct consequences of [7, p.233 Corollary 3]. The statement (3) follows from (1) and (2). $\square$

For positive integers $m, n > 0$, define

$$\mathbb{S}_m(n) := \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^m = 1^m + 2^m + \cdots + (n-1)^m.$$

Lemma 7. *Let m, n be positive integers. Then*

$$2\mathbb{S}_{2m-1}(n) \equiv 0, \quad D_{2m}\mathbb{S}_{2m}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Proof. The former follows from

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{2m-1} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^{2m-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-k)^{2m-1} = 0 \pmod{n}.$$

The latter is a direct consequence of [7, Proposition 15.2.2]. $\square$

Lemma 8. *Let p be a prime number and b, l positive integers. Then*

- (1) If l is odd then $2\mathbb{S}_l(p^b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^b}$.
- (2) If l is even then $p\mathbb{S}_l(p^b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^b}$.

Proof. The first statement is a special case of Lemma 7. The second statement follows from Lemma 6 (2) and Lemma 7. $\square$

Theorem 9 stated in the below, which is a generalization of the classical Voronoi's congruence [7, Proposition 15.2.3], is the key ingredient for the proof of Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 9 is postponed to the next section.

Theorem 9. *Let p be a prime number and c an integer prime to p . Then*

$$N'_{2k} \cdot p^b (c^{2k} - 1) \equiv D'_{2k} \cdot c^{2k-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p^{a+b}-1} s^{2k-1} \left[\frac{sc}{p^a} \right] \pmod{p^{a+b}} \quad (6)$$

for all $a, b \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$.

Now we prove Theorem 1. Since $e_k(\gamma)$ and $s_k(\gamma)$ are natural with respect to the restriction to subgroups, it suffices to prove the case when $\langle \gamma \rangle$ is a p -group. Let p be a prime and $\gamma \in \Gamma_g$ an element of order p^n having the fixed point data

$$\langle g, p^n \mid \beta_1/p^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \beta_q/p^{\alpha_q} \rangle$$

(we write p^{α_i} instead of α_i). Choose an integer β_i^* satisfying $\beta_i^* \beta_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p^n}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq q$, and set

$$n_j = h(\gamma) - 1 + q - \sum_{i=1}^q \left\{ \frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} - \left[\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right] + \delta \left(\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right) \right\}$$

for each $1 \leq j \leq p^n - 1$. According Proposition 3 and Proposition 5, we have

$$e_{2k-1}(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^q p^{n-\alpha_i} (\beta_i^*)^{2k-1} u_\gamma^{2k-1}, \quad s_{2k-1}(\gamma) = - \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} n_j j^{2k-1} u_\gamma^{2k-1}.$$

Hence it suffices to prove the congruence

$$N'_{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q p^{n-\alpha_i} (\beta_i^*)^{2k-1} \equiv -D'_{2k} \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} n_j j^{2k-1} \pmod{p^n}. \quad (7)$$

Since $2 \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$ by Lemma 7 and D'_{2k} is even by Lemma 6, the right hand side of (7) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{RHS}) &= -D'_{2k} \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k-1} \left[h - 1 + q - \sum_{i=1}^q \left\{ \frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} - \left[\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right] + \delta \left(\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right) \right\} \right] \\ &\equiv D'_{2k} \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k-1} \sum_{i=1}^q \left\{ \frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} - \left[\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right] + \delta \left(\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right) \right\} \pmod{p^n} \\ &= D'_{2k} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k} - \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k-1} \left[\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right] + \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k-1} \delta \left(\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^q \beta_i/p^{\alpha_i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Proposition 2 (1), and $D'_{2k} \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$ by Lemma 7, we see that

$$D'_{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$D'_{2k} \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k-1} \delta \left(\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right) = D'_{2k} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq p^n-1 \\ p^{\alpha_i} \mid j}} j^{2k-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n},$$

where the first equality follows from the fact β_i is prime to p , while the second congruence follows from the fact D'_{2k} is even as in the proof of Lemma 7. Hence the right hand side of (7) satisfies

$$(\text{RHS}) = -D'_{2k} \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} n_j j^{2k-1} \equiv -D'_{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k-1} \left[\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right] \pmod{p^n}. \quad (8)$$

Now Theorem 9 implies

$$N'_{2k} p^{n-\alpha_i} (\beta_i^{2k} - 1) \equiv D'_{2k} \beta_i^{2k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k-1} \left[\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right] \pmod{p^n}.$$

Multiplying $-(\beta_i^*)^{2k-1}$ to the both sides of the last congruence, we have

$$N'_{2k} p^{n-\alpha_i} ((\beta_i^*)^{2k-1} - \beta_i) \equiv -D'_{2k} \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k-1} \left[\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right] \pmod{p^n}. \quad (9)$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^q p^{n-\alpha_i} \beta_i = p^n \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^n}$$

by Proposition 2 (1), the summation of (9) for $1 \leq i \leq q$ provides a congruence

$$N'_{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q p^{n-\alpha_i} (\beta_i^*)^{2k-1} \equiv -D'_{2k} \sum_{i=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^{p^n-1} j^{2k-1} \left[\frac{j\beta_i}{p^{\alpha_i}} \right] \pmod{p^n}.$$

In view of (8), this is the desired congruence (7), and hence verifying Theorem 1.

5. A GENERALIZATION OF VORONOI'S CONGRUENCE

We prove Theorem 9 in this section. The proof of Theorem 9 is based on a generalization of Voronoi's congruences due to Porubský stated below.

Theorem 10 (Porubský [13, 14]). *Given a positive integer N and an integer c prime to N , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & (c^{2k} - 1) \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} + \frac{c^{2k} - c^{2k-1}}{2} \cdot \frac{2k-1}{2} B_{2k-2} N^2 \\ & \equiv c^{2k-1} \sum_{x=1}^{N-1} x^{2k-1} \left[\frac{cx}{N} \right] \pmod{N} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for all $k \geq 1$. In particular, if N is odd or $N(c-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then we have

$$(c^{2k} - 1) \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \equiv c^{2k-1} \sum_{x=1}^{N-1} x^{2k-1} \left[\frac{cx}{N} \right] \pmod{N} \quad (11)$$

for all $k \geq 1$.

Here congruences in Theorem 10 are those in $\mathbb{Z}_{(N)} = \{m/n \in \mathbb{Q} \mid (n, N) = 1\}$. Namely, for $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{(N)}$, we write $r \equiv s \pmod{N}$ if $r - s = m/n, (n, N) = 1$, and $N \mid m$.

Remark 3. It was claimed in [13] that the congruence (10) holds for any rational number c prime to N . However, as was corrected in [14], the congruence is valid only when c is an integer.

If $a = 0$ then Theorem 9 is a direct consequence of Lemma 7. Henceforth, we assume $a > 0$. We first prove Theorem 9 for the case $b = 0$.

Proposition 11. *Let p be a prime number and c an integer prime to p . Then*

$$N'_{2k} \cdot (c^{2k} - 1) \equiv D'_{2k} \cdot c^{2k-1} \sum_{x=1}^{p^a-1} x^{2k-1} \left[\frac{cx}{p^a} \right] \pmod{p^a}$$

for all $a, k \geq 1$.

Proof. The proposition is a direct consequence of Theorem 10 (11) unless $p^a = 2$. Suppose $p = 2$ and $a = 1$. Then we have

$$\frac{c^{2k} - c^{2k-1}}{2} \cdot \frac{2k-1}{2} B_{2k-2} \cdot 2^2 = (c^{2k} - c^{2k-1})(2k-1)B_{2k-2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)},$$

because $c^{2k} - c^{2k-1}$ is even and $2B_{2k-2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}$ by Lemma 6 (2). Since D'_{2k} is even, we have

$$D'_{2k} \cdot \frac{c^{2k} - c^{2k-1}}{2} \cdot \frac{2k-1}{2} B_{2k-2} \cdot 2^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Now the result follows from Theorem 10 (10). $\square$

Now we prove Theorem 9. According to Proposition 11, we have

$$N'_{2k} \cdot p^b (c^{2k} - 1) \equiv D'_{2k} \cdot p^b c^{2k-1} \sum_{x=1}^{p^a-1} x^{2k-1} \left[\frac{cx}{p^a} \right] \pmod{p^{a+b}}.$$

Hence it suffices to prove

$$D'_{2k} \cdot p^b \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} s^{2k-1} \left[\frac{sc}{p^a} \right] \equiv D'_{2k} \sum_{s=0}^{p^{a+b}-1} s^{2k-1} \left[\frac{sc}{p^a} \right] \pmod{p^{a+b}}. \quad (12)$$

Now since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=0}^{p^{a+b}-1} s^{2k-1} \left[\frac{sc}{p^a} \right] &= \sum_{j=0}^{p^b-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} (s + jp^a)^{2k-1} \left[\frac{(s + jp^a)c}{p^a} \right], \\ \left[\frac{(s + jp^a)c}{p^a} \right] &= jc + \left[\frac{sc}{p^a} \right], \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{p^{a+b}-1} s^{2k-1} \left[\frac{sc}{p^a} \right] = \sum_{j=0}^{p^b-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} \left(cj(s + jp^a)^{2k-1} + (s + jp^a)^{2k-1} \left[\frac{sc}{p^a} \right] \right).$$

Lemma 12. *Under the assumption of Theorem 9, we have*

$$D'_{2k} \sum_{j=0}^{p^b-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} j(s + jp^a)^{2k-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{a+b}}.$$

Proof. Observe first

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{p^b-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} j(s+jp^a)^{2k-1} &= \sum_{j=0}^{p^b-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} \sum_{l=0}^{2k-1} j \binom{2k-1}{l} s^{2k-1-l} (jp^a)^l \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{p^b-1} \sum_{l=0}^{2k-1} \binom{2k-1}{l} j^{l+1} p^{al} \cdot \mathbb{S}_{2k-1-l}(p^a) \\
&= \sum_{l=0}^{2k-1} \binom{2k-1}{l} p^{al} \cdot \mathbb{S}_{2k-1-l}(p^a) \mathbb{S}_{l+1}(p^b).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of Lemma 8 and the fact that D_{2k} is even, we see that

$$D_{2k} \cdot p^{al} \cdot \mathbb{S}_{2k-1-l}(p^a) \mathbb{S}_{l+1}(p^b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{a+b}} \quad (13)$$

provided $al \geq a+1$ (i.e. $l \geq 2$). Now $D_2 \cdot \mathbb{S}_2(p^b) = 6\mathbb{S}_2(p^b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^b}$. Since D_{2k} is divisible by 6 by Lemma 6, we see that

$$D_{2k} \cdot p^a \cdot \mathbb{S}_{2k-2}(p^a) \mathbb{S}_2(p^b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{a+b}}, \quad (14)$$

which shows that the congruence (13) is valid for $l = 1$. For $l = 0$, recall that D'_{2k} is divisible by 4 by Lemma 6 so that $D'_{2k} = 2\gamma D_{2k}$ for some positive integer γ . Hence

$$D'_{2k} \cdot \mathbb{S}_{2k-1}(p^a) \mathbb{S}_1(p^b) = \gamma D_{2k} \cdot \mathbb{S}_{2k-1}(p^a) \cdot p^b(p^b - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{a+b}}$$

as D_{2k} is even and $2\mathbb{S}_{2k-1}(p^a) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^a}$. Putting all these together, we obtain

$$D'_{2k} \sum_{l=0}^{2k-1} \binom{2k-1}{l} p^{al} \cdot \mathbb{S}_{2k-1-l}(p^a) \mathbb{S}_{l+1}(p^b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{a+b}},$$

which prove the lemma. $\square$

Lemma 13. *Under the assumption of Theorem 9, we have*

$$D'_{2k} \sum_{j=0}^{p^b-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} (s+jp^a)^{2k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{sc}{p^a} \right\rfloor \equiv D'_{2k} \cdot p^b \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} s^{2k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{sc}{p^a} \right\rfloor \pmod{p^{a+b}}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of the previous lemma, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p^b-1} \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} (s+jp^a)^{2k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{sc}{p^a} \right\rfloor = \sum_{l=0}^{2k-1} \binom{2k-1}{l} p^{al} \mathbb{S}_l(p^b) \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} s^{2k-1-l} \left\lfloor \frac{sc}{p^a} \right\rfloor.$$

In view of Lemma 8, we have $D_{2k} \cdot p^{al} \mathbb{S}_l(p^b) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{a+b}}$ if l is odd or if l is even and $al \geq a+1$ (i.e. $l \neq 0$). Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_{2k} \sum_{l=0}^{2k-1} \binom{2k-1}{l} p^{al} \mathbb{S}_l(p^b) \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} s^{2k-1-l} \left\lfloor \frac{sc}{p^a} \right\rfloor \\
&\equiv D_{2k} \mathbb{S}_0(p^b) \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} s^{2k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{sc}{p^a} \right\rfloor = D_{2k} p^b \sum_{s=0}^{p^a-1} s^{2k-1} \left\lfloor \frac{sc}{p^a} \right\rfloor \pmod{p^{a+b}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows immediately. $\square$

Combining Lemma 12 and Lemma 13, the congruence (12) follows, and hence verifying Theorem 9.

Acknowledgement. The authors thank Prof. Štefan Porubský for informing the paper [14]. The first author is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 17560054) from the Japan Society for Promotion of Sciences. The second author is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (A) (No. 18204002) from the Japan Society for Promotion of Sciences.

REFERENCES

1. T. Akita, Nilpotency and triviality of mod p Morita-Mumford classes of mapping class groups of surfaces, *Nagoya Math. J.* **165** (2002) 1–22.
2. T. Akita, N. Kawazumi, T. Uemura, Periodic surface automorphisms and algebraic independence of Morita-Mumford classes, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **160** (2001) 1–11.
3. C. J. Earle, J. Eells, A fibre bundle description of Teichmüller theory, *J. Differential Geometry* **3** (1969) 19–43.
4. H. M. Farkas, I. Kra, *Riemann Surfaces* (2nd ed.), GTM Vol. 71, Springer-Verlag, New York–Heidelberg–Berlin, 1992.
5. H. H. Glover, G. Mislin, Y. Xia, On the Yagita invariant of mapping class groups, *Topology* **33** (1994) 557–574.
6. J. L. Harer, The second homology group of the mapping class group of an oriented surface, *Invent. Math.* **72** (1983) 221–239.
7. K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory* (2nd ed.), GTM Vol. 84, Springer-Verlag, New York–Heidelberg–Berlin, 1981.
8. N. Kawazumi, Weierstrass points and Morita-Mumford classes on hyperelliptic mapping class groups, *Topology Appl.* **125** (2002) 363–383.
9. N. Kawazumi, T. Uemura, Riemann-Hurwitz formula for Morita-Mumford classes and surface symmetries, *Kodai Math. J.* **21** (1998) 372–380.
10. S. Morita, Characteristic classes of surface bundles, *Invent. Math.* **90** (1987) 551–577.
11. D. Mumford, Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves, in *Arithmetic and Geometry* Vol. II, Birkhäuser, Boston (1983) 271–328.
12. J. Nielsen, The structure of periodic surface transformations, in *Jakob Nielsen: Collected Mathematical Papers* Vol. 2, Birkhäuser, Boston (1986) 65–102.
13. Š. Porubský, Voronoi’s congruence via Bernoulli distributions, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **34 (109)** (1984) 1–5.
14. Š. Porubský, Voronoi type congruences for Bernoulli numbers, in *Voronoi’s Impact on Modern Science* Book 1, Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv (1998) 71–98.
15. P. Symonds, The cohomology representation of an action of C_p on a surface, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **306** (1988) 389–400.
16. C. B. Thomas, *Characteristic Classes and Cohomology of Finite Groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 9, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, SAPPORO, 060-0810 JAPAN

E-mail address: akita@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, TOKYO, 153-8914 JAPAN

E-mail address: kawazumi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp